



# Chat Overflow: Artificially Intelligent Models for Computing Education – renaissance or apocalypse?

Paul Denny\*  
University of Auckland  
Auckland, New Zealand  
paul@cs.auckland.ac.nz

Juho Leinonen\*  
University of Auckland  
Auckland, New Zealand  
juho.leinonen@auckland.ac.nz

Brett A. Becker\*  
University College Dublin  
Dublin, Ireland  
brett.becker@ucd.ie

James Prather\*  
Abilene Christian University  
Abilene, Texas, USA  
james.prather@acu.edu

## ABSTRACT

Recent breakthroughs in deep learning have led to the emergence of generative AI models that exhibit extraordinary performance at producing human-like outputs. Using only simple input prompts, it is possible to generate novel text, images, video, music, and source code, as well as tackle tasks such as answering questions and translating and summarising text.

However, the potential for these models to impact computing education practice is only just beginning to be explored. For example, novices learning to code can now use free tools that automatically suggest solutions to programming exercises and assignments; yet these tools were not designed with novices in mind and little to nothing is known about how they will impact learning. Furthermore, much attention has focused on the immediate challenges these models present, such as academic integrity concerns. It seems that even in the AI-era a pending apocalypse sells better than a promising renaissance.

Generative AI will likely play an increasing role in people’s lives in the reasonably foreseeable future. Model performance seems set to continue accelerating while novel uses and new possibilities multiply. Given this, we should devote just as much effort to identifying and exploiting new opportunities as we do to identifying and mitigating challenges.

In this talk, we begin by discussing several concrete and research-backed opportunities for computing educators. Many of these have already shown great promise in positively impacting current practice. We then discuss more short- to medium-term possibilities in areas such as student recruitment, and curricular changes. Finally – against our better judgement – we speculate over the longer-term, including rethinking the very fundamentals of the practice of teaching introductory and advanced computing courses. In these

discussions we suggest potential research questions and directions. Although making remotely accurate predictions in such a fast-changing landscape is foolhardy, we believe that now is the time to explore and embrace opportunities to help make positive change in as many computing classrooms as possible.

## CCS CONCEPTS

• **Social and professional topics** → **CS1: Computer science education**; *Software engineering education*; • **Computing methodologies** → *Neural networks*; *Machine learning*; **Artificial intelligence**.

## KEYWORDS

AI; artificial intelligence; ChatGPT; computer programming; computer science education; computing education; Copilot; deep learning; generative AI; large language models; LLM; machine learning

### ACM Reference Format:

Paul Denny, Brett A. Becker, Juho Leinonen, and James Prather. 2023. Chat Overflow: Artificially Intelligent Models for Computing Education – renaissance or apocalypse?. In *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education V. 1 (ITiCSE 2023)*, July 8–12, 2023, Turku, Finland. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3587102.3588773>

## 1 SPEAKERS



\*Randomly ordered by the spin of a roulette wheel, the results of which were eventually confirmed as valid, reliable and replicable by ChatGPT Plus on the fourth attempt (GPT-4 March 23, 2023 version). No other artificial intelligence was used in the authoring of this document.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

ITiCSE 2023, July 8–12, 2023, Turku, Finland

© 2023 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-0138-2/23/07.

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3587102.3588773>

## 1.1 Paul Denny

Paul<sup>1</sup> enjoys exploring how computing students engage with online learning tools [4, 9, 10], and is particularly interested in how their experience can be impacted through user interface design and tool feedback [5, 6]. His fascination with large language models began in August 2021, after seeing the extraordinary performance of Codex following its release in private beta.

## 1.2 Brett Becker

Brett<sup>2</sup> is interested in how humans learn to program and how they perceive this process [1]. He is fascinated by the interaction between humans and computers, exemplified by his obsession with programming error messages [3] and what AI has to do with them [13]. He is far from alone in his belief that that generative AI will dramatically change the way programming is taught and learned [2] and is keen to try to keep up with the seemingly non-stop acceleration of the capabilities of AI. He is not sure if he is surprised or not that LLMs have offered yet another parallel between programming and natural languages, in that LLMs have demonstrated similar capabilities in both domains through very similar mechanisms.

## 1.3 Juho Leinonen

Juho<sup>3</sup> explores how to best support and engage diverse learner populations with educational technology and artificial intelligence. Recently, he has researched the potential opportunities that large language models could provide for introductory programming instructors such as automatically creating personalised exercises [8, 18], enhancing programming error messages with LLMs [13], and creating code explanations for students using LLMs [12, 14].

## 1.4 James Prather

James<sup>4</sup> is very interested in how novices learn to code. His research has examined novice programmer interaction with compiler error messages [3, 7] and novice programmer metacognition and self-regulation [15, 16]. Recently he has worked on multiple papers on the impact of LLMs on introductory computing education [2, 11, 13, 17].

## REFERENCES

- [1] Brett A. Becker. 2021. What Does Saying That ‘Programming is Hard’ Really Say, and About Whom? *Commun. ACM* 64, 8 (jul 2021), 27–29. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3469115>
- [2] Brett A. Becker, Paul Denny, James Finnie-Ansley, Andrew Luxton-Reilly, James Prather, and Eddie Antonio Santos. 2023. Programming Is Hard - Or at Least It Used to Be: Educational Opportunities and Challenges of AI Code Generation. In *Proceedings of the 54th ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education V. 1* (Toronto ON, Canada) (SIGCSE 2023). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 500–506. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3545945.3569759>
- [3] Brett A. Becker, Paul Denny, Raymond Pettit, Durell Bouchard, Dennis J. Bouvier, Brian Harrington, Amir Kamil, Amey Karkare, Chris McDonald, Peter-Michael Osera, Janice L. Pearce, and James Prather. 2019. Compiler Error Messages Considered Unhelpful: The Landscape of Text-Based Programming Error Message Research. In *Proceedings of the Working Group Reports on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education* (Aberdeen, Scotland UK) (ITiCSE-WGR ’19). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 177–210. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3344429.3372508>
- [4] Paul Denny, Andrew Luxton-Reilly, Michelle Craig, and Andrew Petersen. 2018. Improving Complex Task Performance Using a Sequence of Simple Practice Tasks. In *Proceedings of the 23rd Annual ACM Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education* (Larnaca, Cyprus) (ITiCSE 2018). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 4–9. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3197091.3197141>
- [5] Paul Denny, Fiona McDonald, Ruth Empson, Philip Kelly, and Andrew Petersen. 2018. Empirical Support for a Causal Relationship Between Gamification and Learning Outcomes. In *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3173885>
- [6] Paul Denny, James Prather, and Brett A. Becker. 2020. Error Message Readability and Novice Debugging Performance. In *Proceedings of the 2020 ACM Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education* (Trondheim, Norway) (ITiCSE ’20). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 480–486. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3341525.3387384>
- [7] Paul Denny, James Prather, Brett A. Becker, Catherine Mooney, John Homer, Zachary C Albrecht, and Garrett B. Powell. 2021. On Designing Programming Error Messages for Novices: Readability and Its Constituent Factors. In *Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (Yokohama, Japan) (CHI ’21). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 55, 15 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3411764.3445696>
- [8] Paul Denny, Sami Sarsa, Arto Hellas, and Juho Leinonen. 2022. Robosourcing Educational Resources – Leveraging Large Language Models for Learnersourcing. arXiv:2211.04715 [cs.HC]
- [9] Paul Denny, Ewan Tempero, Dawn Garbett, and Andrew Petersen. 2017. Examining a Student-Generated Question Activity Using Random Topic Assignment. In *Proceedings of the 2017 ACM Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education* (Bologna, Italy) (ITiCSE ’17). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 146–151. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3059009.3059033>
- [10] Paul Denny, Jacqueline Whalley, and Juho Leinonen. 2021. Promoting Early Engagement with Programming Assignments Using Scheduled Automated Feedback. In *Australasian Computing Education Conference* (Virtual, SA, Australia) (ACE ’21). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 88–95. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3441636.3442309>
- [11] James Finnie-Ansley, Paul Denny, Brett A. Becker, Andrew Luxton-Reilly, and James Prather. 2022. The Robots Are Coming: Exploring the Implications of OpenAI Codex on Introductory Programming. In *Australasian Computing Education Conference* (New York New York, USA) (ACE ’22). Association for Computing Machinery, Online, 10–19. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3511861.3511863>
- [12] Juho Leinonen, Paul Denny, Stephen MacNeil, Sami Sarsa, Seth Bernstein, Joanne Kim, Andrew Tran, and Arto Hellas. 2023. Comparing Code Explanations Created by Students and Large Language Models. arXiv:2304.03938 [cs.CY]
- [13] Juho Leinonen, Arto Hellas, Sami Sarsa, Brent Reeves, Paul Denny, James Prather, and Brett A. Becker. 2023. Using Large Language Models to Enhance Programming Error Messages. In *Proceedings of the 54th ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education V. 1* (Toronto ON, Canada) (SIGCSE 2023). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 563–569. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3545945.3569770>
- [14] Stephen MacNeil, Andrew Tran, Arto Hellas, Joanne Kim, Sami Sarsa, Paul Denny, Seth Bernstein, and Juho Leinonen. 2023. Experiences from Using Code Explanations Generated by Large Language Models in a Web Software Development E-Book. In *Proceedings of the 54th ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education V. 1* (Toronto ON, Canada) (SIGCSE 2023). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 931–937. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3545945.3569785>
- [15] James Prather, Brett A. Becker, Michelle Craig, Paul Denny, Dastyni Loksa, and Lauren Margulieux. 2020. What Do We Think We Think We Are Doing? Metacognition and Self-Regulation in Programming. In *Proceedings of the 2020 ACM Conference on International Computing Education Research* (Virtual Event, New Zealand) (ICER ’20). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2–13. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3372782.3406263>
- [16] James Prather, Raymond Pettit, Brett A. Becker, Paul Denny, Dastyni Loksa, Alani Peters, Zachary Albrecht, and Krista Masci. 2019. First Things First: Providing Metacognitive Scaffolding for Interpreting Problem Prompts. In *Proceedings of the 50th ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education* (Minneapolis, MN, USA) (SIGCSE ’19). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 531–537. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3287324.3287374>
- [17] James Prather, Brent N. Reeves, Paul Denny, Brett A. Becker, Juho Leinonen, Andrew Luxton-Reilly, Garrett Powell, James Finnie-Ansley, and Eddie Antonio Santos. 2023. “It’s Weird That it Knows What I Want”: Usability and Interactions with Copilot for Novice Programmers. arXiv:2304.02491 [cs.HC]
- [18] Sami Sarsa, Paul Denny, Arto Hellas, and Juho Leinonen. 2022. Automatic Generation of Programming Exercises and Code Explanations Using Large Language Models. In *Proceedings of the 2022 ACM Conference on International Computing Education Research - Volume 1* (Lugano and Virtual Event, Switzerland) (ICER ’22). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 27–43. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3501385.3543957>

<sup>1</sup>profiles.auckland.ac.nz/p-denny

<sup>2</sup>brettbecker.com

<sup>3</sup>juholeinonen.com

<sup>4</sup>jamesprather.com